

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,791	03/16/2006	Taco Peter Jesse	JESSE1	4135
11/16/2010 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAMINER	
			ZHOU, SHUBO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/541,791	JESSE, TACO PETER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SHUBO (Joe) ZHOU	1631		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_ Claim(s) rejected: \_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See continuation sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/SHUBO (Joe) ZHOU/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

## Continuation of 3(a):

The claims are substantially amended in the proposed amendment filed 11/3/10. The new limitations added raise new issues that require further consideration and/or new search. The limitations include "pooling individual clones in the library by generate a multifule of pools ..." in step (d) of claim 1; "aligning the individual clines ..., to generate a contig" in step (h) of claim 1; "wherein the forward and reverse AFLP primers used in steps (b) and (e) are of higher selectivity, and the forward and reverse AFLP primers used in step (g) are of lower selectivity. In claim 1. These same limitations are also added in other claims, such as claim 2. The new limitations that require further consideration also include "wherein the AFLP primers used in steps (b) and (e) have in total at least 2 more selective nucleotides than the AFLP primers used in step (g)" in claim 4; "wherein the AFLP primers electivity have at least 0 selective nucleotides "in claim 5; "wherein the AFLP primers used in steps (b) and (e) have in total sum at least 3 more selective nucleotides than the AFLP primers used in steps (b) and (e) have in total sum at least 3 more selective nucleotides than the AFLP primers used in the part shaft require further consideration and/or further search are not the numeric numbers, per se, such as "at least 3" in claim 17, but rather the entire limitations as a whole including the numeric numbers.

Continuation of 11: It appears that applicant's arguments rely in large part on the new limitations added. However, these limitations have not been entered for reasons set forth above. The rejections are thus retained for the same reasons set forth in the final rejection mailed 8/3/10.